

*1 Corinthians 15:1-21, 50-58 (NRSV)*

Now I would remind you, brothers and sisters, of the good news that I proclaimed to you, which you in turn received, in which also you stand, <sup>2</sup>through which also you are being saved, if you hold firmly to the message that I proclaimed to you—unless you have come to believe in vain.

<sup>3</sup>For I handed on to you as of first importance what I in turn had received: that Christ died for our sins in accordance with the scriptures, <sup>4</sup>and that he was buried, and that he was raised on the third day in accordance with the scriptures, <sup>5</sup>and that he appeared to Cephas, then to the twelve. <sup>6</sup>Then he appeared to more than five hundred brothers and sisters at one time, most of whom are still alive, though some have died. <sup>7</sup>Then he appeared to James, then to all the apostles. <sup>8</sup>Last of all, as to one untimely born, he appeared also to me. <sup>9</sup>For I am the least of the apostles, unfit to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the church of God. <sup>10</sup>But by the grace of God I am what I am, and his grace toward me has not been in vain. On the contrary, I worked harder than any of them—though it was not I, but the grace of God that is with me. <sup>11</sup>Whether then it was I or they, so we proclaim and so you have come to believe.

<sup>12</sup>Now if Christ is proclaimed as raised from the dead, how can some of you say there is no resurrection of the dead? <sup>13</sup>If there is no resurrection of the dead, then Christ has not been raised; <sup>14</sup>and if Christ has not been raised, then our proclamation has been in vain and your faith has been in vain. <sup>15</sup>We are even found to be misrepresenting God, because we testified of God that he raised Christ—whom he did not raise if it is true that the dead are not raised. <sup>16</sup>For if the dead are not raised, then Christ has not been raised. <sup>17</sup>If Christ has not been raised, your faith is futile and you are still in your sins. <sup>18</sup>Then those also who have died in Christ have perished. <sup>19</sup>If for this life only we have hoped in Christ, we are of all people most to be pitied.

<sup>20</sup>But in fact Christ has been raised from the dead, the first fruits of those who have died. <sup>21</sup>For since death came through a human being, the resurrection of the dead has also come through a human being.

<sup>50</sup>What I am saying, brothers and sisters, is this: flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God, nor does the perishable inherit the imperishable. <sup>51</sup>Listen, I will tell you a mystery! We will not all die, but we will all be changed, <sup>52</sup>in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trumpet. For the trumpet will sound, and the dead will be raised imperishable, and we will be changed. <sup>53</sup>For this perishable body must put on imperishability, and this mortal body must put on immortality. <sup>54</sup>When this perishable body puts on imperishability, and this mortal body puts on immortality, then the saying that is written will be fulfilled: “Death has been swallowed up in victory.”

<sup>55</sup>“Where, O death, is your victory?

Where, O death, is your sting?”

<sup>56</sup>The sting of death is sin, and the power of sin is the law. <sup>57</sup>But thanks be to God, who gives us the victory through our Lord Jesus Christ.

<sup>58</sup>Therefore, my beloved, be steadfast, immovable, always excelling in the work of the Lord, because you know that in the Lord your labor is not in vain.

*Simply this: Jesus was resurrected. Truly.*

1 Corinthians is a letter to the community of believers founded by Paul on his second missionary journey. They have a lot of problems and Paul writes to them as their “senior pastor.” This letter was written in AD53 or so, probably from Ephesus. The heart of their problems is their denial of the resurrection of the dead, their own

resurrection and, thus, Jesus' actual, bodily resurrection. Understandably Paul brings his long letter to a climax on the issue of resurrection.

There are two questions that swirl around the topic of resurrection:

*First, what do we mean by "resurrection"? Wasn't Lazarus "resurrected"? If so, what's so special about Jesus' "resurrection"?*

*Second, how important is it that Jesus was truly resurrected? He was a wonderful, even unique, example to us all, showing us the way of love, peace, and justice. Isn't it enough that we strive to be like him, to do what we think Jesus would do? Surely, his path of self-sacrifice is a powerful lesson to us all. Wouldn't Christianity be fine if we let go of the oft-embarrassing claim that Jesus rose from his tomb and later ate some fish with his disciples? Do such claims have any place in our modern, science-driven world?*

It may surprise you to learn that such questions were on the table among the first believers in the decades after Jesus. The Corinthian Christians would probably say they were on fire for Jesus, but Paul knew that their enthusiasm was a mile wide and an inch deep. They prized certain spiritual gifts, such as speaking in tongues, above all else. They had mistakenly concluded that this new religion was all about the spirit, and that what they did with their bodies didn't matter. Their physical bodies would be left behind soon enough when their souls flew home to be with God. Sadly, in their fervid embrace of the "spiritual" they had come to an over-spiritualized sense of themselves and of the gospel. Sounds pretty much like the American landscape in the early 21<sup>st</sup> century.

But most astoundingly to Paul, they had even come to deny that, one day, all people would be resurrected just as Jesus had been resurrected. Paul knew that their denial of bodily resurrection<sup>1</sup> – and there is no kind of resurrection that isn't bodily; it is what the Greek word meant – underlay all the other mistakes they had made. Thus, all of Paul's lengthy letter to them, which we know as 1 Corinthians, builds to the climactic argument for Jesus' resurrection and our own in chapter 15.

*Resurrection?*

But let's not get ahead of ourselves. What did Paul and the others mean by resurrection? The answer is straightforward. Resurrection was the passing through death to newly embodied life on the other side of death. Not becoming a ghost or one of Homer's shades or a spirit or anything else that lacks materiality. The Greeks had a word for resurrection, *anastasis*, though they knew that it didn't really happen, for they, like us, understood that the dead stay dead. However, Jesus and many of his fellow Jews believed that when the long-awaited Day of the Lord arrived, the kingdom of God would be ushered in and all the dead would be resurrected. Saying "bodily resurrection" would be redundant, for bodily is what resurrection means.

It is easy to get confused here, because this can sound a lot like what we call "resuscitation." Thus, sometimes, people mistakenly think of Jesus' resurrection as some sort of resuscitation. When Jesus brought Lazarus back to life (John 11), it was a "resuscitation," much like someone being brought back to life after dying on an operating table. Lazarus was brought back to life as he had known it and he went on to age and die just like everyone else. The same was true of all the others *brought back to life* in the Bible. They returned to their families and, hopefully, lived out a full and

---

<sup>1</sup>The "big" book on the resurrection is N.T. Wright's, *The Resurrection of the Son of God*. It is a massive volume with which anyone who wishes to deny the resurrection (or deny that it means bodily!) will have to contend for years to come. Another of Wright's books also deals with these subjects and is much more accessible to laypeople: *Surprised by Hope: Rethinking Heaven, the Resurrection, and the Mission of the Church*. I recommend both.

godly life. But Jesus was not *brought back* to life. Instead, he was *taken forward* to newly-embodied life, i.e., resurrection.

To put it differently, Jesus was not restored to the life and to the body he had known. Rather, Jesus passed through death to new life and a new physicality. Jesus no longer had a “soulish” body (*soma psychikon* in the original Greek) but a “Spirit-filled body” (*soma pneumatikon*), as Paul describes in 1 Corinthians 15:44. See the big page four textbox for more on this. It may seem a bit technical but it is really important. Truly.

In their stories about the risen Christ, the Gospel writers don’t try to explain the nature of Jesus’ resurrection; they simply relate what happened. Jesus was recognizable, though his appearance was slightly altered. He ate, but appeared on the inside of a closed room. His body was not the same sort of body he had before death; it was transformed into a new sort of body. But it was still a body, still material, still capable of eating broiled fish (Luke 24:26-43).

### *The proof*

But how important is Jesus’ resurrection to our faith? Let’s put it as plainly as Paul did in his letter to the Corinthians: If Jesus was not resurrected then we Christians are to be pitied, for we would have placed our hope and trust in a lie. If Jesus was not resurrected then there is no Christianity. The resurrection is the evidence, the proof, on which all our claims rest.

To grasp this we have to go back to the women who arrive and find Jesus’ tomb empty on that Sunday morning in Jerusalem. Alarmed. Terrified. Amazed. Afraid. Those are the words that Mark uses to describe the reaction of the women to the empty tomb. Terror? You can be sure. I’m sure that each woman would have had trouble putting her frightful surprise into words. Some surprises are clearly occasions for joy, others for sadness. But sometimes, like the women’s surprise on that Sunday morning, there is only confusion and anxiety and fear.

At first glance, none of this makes sense to us. We think to ourselves, it’s Easter morning! Christ is risen! Alleluia! How could alarm and terror characterize the women’s experience?

To understand their fear, there are a few things we need to keep in mind:

- Among first-century Jews, there was no expectation of a suffering, much less crucified, Messiah. The Messiah was to arrive in power and might and wonder and glory to cleanse the temple, expel the pagans, and restore God’s kingdom. The fact that Jesus ends up dead on a cross could only mean that he wasn’t the Messiah. Wonderful and beloved rabbi, yes. Prophet, yes. But the Messiah could never meet such an end . . . or so everyone believed.
- And if there was no expectation of a dead Messiah, there couldn’t be any expectation of a resurrected Messiah, or for that matter the resurrection of any single person until all were resurrected on the Day of the Lord. The ancients knew what we know; namely, until such a day comes, the dead stay dead.
- Thus, when the three women arrive and find the stone rolled away, their only thought would be that someone had beaten them to the tomb, either to take Jesus’ body or to desecrate it.

Yet, despite all this, the disciples proclaimed to the world that Jesus of Nazareth, this crucified Nazarene, had been resurrected and, thus, was not the failure and disappointment that he had seemed on that Friday at Golgotha. Instead, he was what he claimed – God’s Messiah and, indeed, the Lord God incarnate.

But Jesus’ closest friends and disciples were not the only witnesses to the truth of Jesus’ resurrection. In Paul’s letter, written only twenty-five years after the resurrection, he

tells the resurrection-denying Corinthians that hundreds of people saw Jesus after his resurrection and that most of them are still alive. Go find them and ask! Further, Paul tells them the great truth that we too can sometimes forget – if Jesus was not resurrected, then we’ve believed a lie and are to be pitied for it. For if Jesus was not resurrected, there is no Christian gospel to be proclaimed. And we, alongside all humanity, still lie dead in our sin. Paul knows full well that without the resurrection, Jesus was just another failed would-be messiah executed by the Romans, no one’s savior.

### “Physical/natural body” v. “Spiritual body” in 1 Corinthians 15:44

Whenever readers come to 1 Corinthians 15, they are nearly always confused by, and often misled by, the regrettable translation of verse 44: “It [our body] is sown a physical body, it is raised a spiritual body. If there is a physical body, there is also a spiritual body” (NRSV; “natural” in the NIV). Understandably, we assume that Paul is contrasting our current natural or physical, i.e., material, body, with a resurrected spiritual, i.e., non-material, body. This is how we are used to understanding words like “physical” and “spiritual.” But this translation leads us to exactly the *wrong* conclusion about Paul’s meaning. Indeed, it is the very mistake that the Corinthians made and Paul was trying to correct!

Richard Hays at Duke Seminary is widely recognized as one of the foremost Pauline scholars of our day. In the following passage from his 1 Corinthians commentary in the *Interpretation* series, he helps us to see what Paul is actually saying in the Greek about our resurrected bodies. He writes:

Whereas our present bodies are “sown” (in this life) perishable, dishonorable, and weak, the resurrection body will be raised (in the next life) imperishable, glorious, and powerful (vv. 42–43). Paul thus produces an impressive piece of visionary preaching, extolling the glories that await us. He is seeking to make the resurrection of the dead seem appealing rather than appalling to the Corinthians.

Yet the last item in this sequence is the one that he is driving toward: “It is sown a natural body [*psychikon sōma*], it is raised a spiritual body [*pneumatikon sōma*]” (v. 44, NIV). This is the nub of his argument. This last contrast, however, presents a vexing problem for translators (cf. 2:14, where the same contrast occurs). The phrase *psychikon sōma* is notoriously difficult to translate into English. The NRSV’s translation (“physical body”) is especially unfortunate, for it reinstates precisely the dualistic dichotomy between physical and spiritual that Paul is struggling to overcome. In any case, *psychikon* certainly does not mean “physical.” Furthermore, although *pneumatikon sōma* is easier to translate, “spiritual body” sounds like an oxymoron. What sense are we to make of this?

By far the most graceful translation of verse 44, and the one that best conveys the meaning of Paul’s sentence, is found in the *Jerusalem Bible*: “When it is sown it embodies the soul, when it is raised it embodies the spirit. If the soul has its own embodiment, so does the spirit have its own embodiment.” That is Paul’s point: our mortal bodies embody the *psychē* (“soul”), the animating force of our present existence, but the resurrection body will embody the divinely given *pneuma* (“spirit”). It is to be a “spiritual body” not in the sense that it is somehow made out of spirit and vapors, but in the sense that it is determined by the spirit and gives the spirit form and local habitation.

There has never been a great heresy around the doctrine our own bodily resurrection., which we affirm in all the great creeds. It is almost as if we have simply forgotten the witness of the Scriptures to this truth. The most likely cause was the regrettable embrace of too many of Plato’s ideas by the early, largely Gentile Christians who tried to make Plato and the Good New fit together . . . but they don’t. Yes, yes, yes, one day we will be resurrected just as Jesus was and our new, transformed, glorified bodies will be the same as his, so that we can enjoy all of God’s restored creation.

### *Our own resurrection*

But Paul doesn't stop there. He pushes the Corinthians further. Just as Jesus was raised, he writes, so shall we all be raised. Paul uses a harvest analogy: Jesus is "the first fruits of those who have died" (v. 20). One day, the resurrection harvest begun in Jesus will be completed. Paul understands that we are naturally curious about this future resurrected body and in portions of chapter 15 he strives to find suitable analogies, though he knows that the effort will fall short. It is foolish even to speculate. All Paul knows is that as Jesus died and was then resurrected, so shall we die and then be resurrected upon Jesus' return. Our resurrected body will be like Jesus' resurrected body. Like Jesus, our mortal bodies will one day put on imperishability. This is the victory God gives us through Jesus Christ.

If this all seems just a bit too fantastical and even weird to you, consider our affirmation of Jesus' resurrection. That doesn't seem so fantastical only because we've gotten used to the idea. But once you've accepted as true the claim that Jesus was resurrected and walked out of the tomb after having died . . . well, then everything is on the table. Being a Christian actually takes a big imagination, a mind and heart that refuses shrink God into a small box that seems "reasonable" to us. Perhaps it is time for us to be unreasonable Christians!

## Scott Engle's Bible Classes

### **Monday Afternoon Class**

A study of the book of Exodus

Meeting on-line at 3pm Monday on Scott's Facebook page. Search for "Scott Engle - St. Andrew UMC."

### **Tuesday Lunchtime Class**

A study of Jude

Meeting on-line at 12:00 noon Tuesday on Scott's Facebook page. Search for "Scott Engle - St. Andrew UMC".

#### *About the weekday classes:*

Join us whenever you can. Each week's lesson stands on its own. This is very "drop-in." Bring something to eat if you like, wear your pj's.-- we're on-line now so who'd even know. Have a Bible handy.

Both classes are now recorded and are available each week in my new podcast at [scottengle.podbean.com](http://scottengle.podbean.com). They are also available on Apple podcasts. Search by my name, "Scott Engle".

### **Scott's Sunday Class**

Meeting on Sunday at 11:00 on Scott's Facebook page. Search for "Scott Engle - St. Andrew UMC." **No class on April 25**

**Videos of all three classes are posted on Scott's YouTube channel.** Search for "Scott Engle." These videos are posted as soon as possible after class.